

# **Belfast District Council**

Report to:	Shadow Strategic Policy & Resources Committee
Subject:	Area Working - BIF/LIF Update
Date:	20 <sup>th</sup> March 2015
Reporting Officer:	Gerry Millar, Director of Property & Projects
Contact Officers:	Sinead Grimes, Programme Manager

1.0	Relevant Background Information							
1.1	<ul> <li>At the Shadow SP&amp;R Committee on 20<sup>th</sup> February Members agreed the boundaries for new area working groups comprising 4 AWGs based on a combination of the 10 District Electoral Areas across Belfast</li> <li>Area 1: North (2 DEAs) – Castle and Oldpark</li> <li>Area 2: South (2 DEAs) – Botanic and Balmoral</li> <li>Area 3: East (3 DEAs) - Titanic; Ormiston and Lisnasharragh</li> <li>Area 4: West (3 DEAs) - Court; Black Mountain and Collin</li> </ul>							
			NORTH - Castle; Oldpark DEAs	SOUTH - Botanic; Balmoral DEAs	EAST - Titanic; Ormiston; Lisnasharragh DEAs	WEST - Court; Black Mountain; Collin DEAs		
		Overall combined Population of DEAs	63,807	68,597	98,249	103,073		
		No of Councillors	12	10	19	19		
1.2	Work on a revised Terms of Reference for the new AWGs is underway on a report will be brought to Committee in the coming months on this.							
2.0	Establishment of Local Investment Fund 2							
2.1	The current £5million LIF programme was established in 2012 under the Investment Programme and was designed to support the delivery of local regeneration projects in neighbourhoods and as a way for Members to connect with their local communities. LIF has been successful with over 60 projects worth over £4.85m allocated in principle funding of which 20 projects are already complete; 9 are currently on the ground with a further 28 are at tender preparation stage.							
2.2	Recognising the benefits of LIF at an area level and the outcomes which have accrued from this, <b>Members</b> have agreed the need for a LIF 2 programme. It is proposed that £4million is reallocated from the Belfast Investment Fund reserves to a new LIF 2 programme.							
	LIF - Options For Allocation Model For LIF2 and the new AWG Boundaries							
2.3	The current LIF programme was allocated on an equal basis across the former North, South, East, West							

- 2.3 The current LIF programme was allocated on an equal basis across the former North, South, East, West (£1,127,500) with a proportional allocation to the Shankill (£490,000). The revised boundaries under the LGR means that there are now 10DEAs in the Belfast area. Both the new West and East AWGs are made up of three DEAs and therefore have larger overall populations and also a larger number of Members per AWG area. Given this, the Committee is asked to give consideration to an option of allocating monies for LIF2 on a proportional basis based on the number of DEAs in an AWG rather than an equal allocation per area.
- 2.4 On the basis of the future AWGs which have been agreed by Committee this option would mean the following -

				Option 1 – Equal allocation	Option 2 – Proportional allocation of £4m based on number of DEAs within the AWG			
				<u> </u>	£4m/10 (10 DEAs) x numbers of DEAs per AWG			
	NORTH -	Castle & Oldpark DEAs	:	£1,000,000	£800,000 (2 DEAs)			
	SOUTH – I	Botanic & Balmoral DEAs	:	£1,000,000	£800,000 (2 DEAs)			
	EAST - Tita	anic; Ormiston & Lisnasha	rragh DEAs	£1,000,000	£1,200,000 (3 DEAs)			
	WEST - Co	ourt; Black Mountain & Co	llin DEAs	£1,000,000	£1,200,000 (3 DEAs)			
2.5	<ul> <li>It is recommended that Members agree 'Option 2 - Proportional allocation of £4m based on number of DEAs within the AWG'. This would appear to be a fairer allocation of LIF 2 given that both the East and West AWGs are made up of three DEAs apiece and have larger overall populations.</li> <li>LIF 2 – Proposals for use of LIF 2</li> </ul>							
2.6	The current LIF programme was specifically designed to support the delivery of local regeneration projects in neighbourhoods and as a way for Members to connect with their local communities in advance of LGR and. A fixed amount of £5m was available with a minimum level of investment of no less than £15,000 in a project and a maximum of £250,000 to ensure a spread of investment across the city. <u>The current LIF programme funded capital projects only</u>							
2.7	what can consider t focused ir – su A Ea	be funded under the the possibility of not nterventions including upporting focused inte WGs (similar to those ast; community safety	e next progra only support for example erventions, a already take initiatives in	r <b>amme.</b> This thereforting capital projects e area planning and co en forward – commu	fore an opportunity to change the focus of ore presents an opportunity for Members to but also funding revenue projects or other llaborative working and as agreed by the nity focused initiatives in West, Shankill and South)			
	<ul> <li>potential match funding for smaller scale projects including those seeking funding from other external funding sources including Peace IV</li> </ul>							
			-		ling those seeking funding from other			
2.8	This woul those issu emerging they wish agree the If Membe	Aternal funding source d enable Members to ues/projects which w Belfast Agenda. It is r to use 100% of the breakdown of allocators agree that LIF 2 co	es including o focus on ill most ber ecommend ir LIF alloca ation betwe buld also be	Peace IV the particular needs nefit their local area ed that it is left to the ation to fund capita en funding capital a used to fund revenu	of their local area and target LIF spend on s within the context of area plans and the ne discretion of individual AWGs to decide if I projects, 100% on revenue projects or to nd revenue in line with the AWG priorities.			
2.8	This woul those issu emerging <b>they wish</b> agree the If Member proposed <i>LIF Capita</i> projects in they follo minimum	Aternal funding source d enable Members to ues/projects which w Belfast Agenda. It is r to use 100% of the breakdown of alloca ers agree that LIF 2 co that criteria on how t d Projects - If AWGs of t is recommended that w the same processes	es including o focus on ill most ber ecommend ir LIF alloca ation betwe buld also be his will be a decide to co at these are s which are a maximum	Peace IV the particular needs nefit their local area ed that it is left to the ation to fund capital en funding capital a used to fund revenu pplied will be brough ontinue to use some on the same criteri already greed and the of £250,000. How	of their local area and target LIF spend on s within the context of area plans and the <b>ne discretion of individual AWGs to decide if</b> <b>I projects, 100% on revenue projects or to</b> <b>nd revenue in line with the AWG priorities.</b> The type projects or further interventions it is at back to Committee in due course. or all of their LIF allocation towards capital a as the under the current programme, that these continue to be for investments of a vever a new outcomes framework will be			
	This woul those issu emerging <b>they wish</b> agree the If Member proposed <i>LIF Capita</i> projects in they follo minimum	Aternal funding source d enable Members to ues/projects which w Belfast Agenda. It is r to use 100% of the breakdown of alloca ers agree that LIF 2 co that criteria on how t al Projects - If AWGs of t is recommended that w the same processes of £15,000 up to a d to aid decision making	es including o focus on ill most ber ecommend ir LIF alloca ation betwe buld also be his will be a decide to co at these are s which are a maximum	Peace IV the particular needs nefit their local area ed that it is left to the ation to fund capital en funding capital a used to fund revenu pplied will be brough ontinue to use some on the same criteri already greed and the of £250,000. How	of their local area and target LIF spend on s within the context of area plans and the <b>ne discretion of individual AWGs to decide if</b> <b>I projects, 100% on revenue projects or to</b> <b>nd revenue in line with the AWG priorities.</b> The type projects or further interventions it is at back to Committee in due course. or all of their LIF allocation towards capital a as the under the current programme, that these continue to be for investments of a vever a new outcomes framework will be			
	This woul those issue emerging they wish agree the If Member proposed <i>LIF Capita</i> projects in they follo minimum developed North AW	Aternal funding source d enable Members to ues/projects which w Belfast Agenda. It is r to use 100% of the breakdown of alloca ers agree that LIF 2 co that criteria on how t al Projects - If AWGs of t is recommended that w the same processes of £15,000 up to a d to aid decision makin	es including o focus on ill most ber ecommend ir LIF alloca ation betwe buld also be his will be a decide to co at these are s which are a maximum ng and supp lowing reco	Peace IV the particular needs nefit their local area ed that it is left to the ation to fund capital en funding capital a used to fund revenu pplied will be brough ontinue to use some e on the same criteri already greed and the of £250,000. How ort maximum impact	of their local area and target LIF spend on s within the context of area plans and the <b>ne discretion of individual AWGs to decide if</b> <b>I projects, 100% on revenue projects or to</b> <b>nd revenue in line with the AWG priorities.</b> The type projects or further interventions it is at back to Committee in due course. or all of their LIF allocation towards capital a as the under the current programme, that these continue to be for investments of a vever a new outcomes framework will be			
2.9	This woul those issue emerging they wish agree the If Member proposed <i>LIF Capita</i> projects in they follo minimum developed North AW	Aternal funding source d enable Members to ues/projects which w Belfast Agenda. It is r to use 100% of the breakdown of alloca ers agree that LIF 2 co that criteria on how t d Projects - If AWGs of t is recommended that w the same processes of £15,000 up to a d to aid decision makin /G h AWG made the fol	es including o focus on ill most ber ecommend ir LIF alloca ation betwe buld also be his will be a decide to co at these are s which are a maximum ng and supp lowing reco	Peace IV the particular needs nefit their local area ed that it is left to the ation to fund capital en funding capital a used to fund revenu pplied will be brough ontinue to use some e on the same criteri already greed and the of £250,000. How ort maximum impact	of their local area and target LIF spend on s within the context of area plans and the <b>ne discretion of individual AWGs to decide if</b> <b>I projects, 100% on revenue projects or to</b> <b>nd revenue in line with the AWG priorities.</b> The type projects or further interventions it is at back to Committee in due course. Or all of their LIF allocation towards capital a as the under the current programme, that these continue to be for investments of a vever a new outcomes framework will be t.			

		Committee is asked to note that this project has previously received £30,281 and this additional money is to make up a shortfall in funding for the project				
3.0	Belfast Investment Fund					
3.1	3.1 Members will recall that it was agreed in February that the criteria for the Belfast Investment Fund sh be revised. Work is currently underway in relation to this and discussion ongoing with Party Groups. update report on this will be presented to Committee in April.					
4.0	Resource Implications					
Finan	cial: As outlined above.					
Huma	n: Officer time in working with gr	oups on developing their project proposals				
Assets	s: none at present					

5.0	Equality Implications
Changes	to the overall LIF programme will be re-screened

6.0 Recommendations

Committee is asked to note the contents of this report and

## Establishment of LIF 2

• agree that a Local Investment Fund 2 is established and that £4million is reallocated from BIF reserves to fund this

## Allocation model – LIF 2

• Agree whether to allocate resources equally based on Option 1 or **'Option 2 - Proportional allocation of £4m based on number of DEAs within the AWG'** as outlined in 2.4 above in relation to LIF 2 given that both the East and West AWGs are made up of three DEAs apiece and have larger overall populations

# **Options for LIF2**

- note that LIF 2 presents a new funding stream for Members and therefore an opportunity to change the focus of what can be funded under the next programme. A new outcomes framework will be developed to aid decision making and support maximum impact.
- agree if LIF2 should be refocused to enable AWGs to also fund revenue projects (e.g. supporting focused area interventions) in addition to capital.
- If the above is agreed, it is recommended that it is left to the discretion of individual AWGs to decide if they wish to use 100% of their LIF allocation to fund capital projects, 100% on revenue projects or to agree the breakdown of allocation between funding capital and revenue projects in line with the AWG priorities.

# North AWG

• agree that an additional £30,000 of LIF funding is allocated to the Small Steps Adult Education Group project (NLIF055) from unallocated North LIF monies

7.0	Call-in			
This report is subject to call-in.				